## Message Text

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 STATE 208615 ORIGIN EB-08

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 EA-12 ISO-00 PA-02 DODE-00 NSAE-00 ICA-20 TRSE-00 SOE-02 DOE-15 CIAE-00 COME-00 /072 R

DRAFTED BY EB/ITP/EWT/WAROOT:TLW APPROVED BY EB/ITP/EWT/WAROOT EUR/P - MR. GEHRON S/PRS - MR. SHAPIRO

-----118658 171445Z/47

R 170012Z AUG 78 FM SECSTATE WASHDC TO AMEMBASSY BONN AMEMBASSY LONDON AMEMBASSY PARIS AMEMBASSY TOKYO

UNCLAS STATE 208615

USOECD ALSO FOR EMBASSY

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: ESTC, COCOM

SUBJECT: TASS COMPUTER

REF: A) STATE 188214; B) TOKYO 14798

- 1. THE AUGUST 21 ISSUE OF BUSINESS WEEK, WHICH CAME OUT AUGUST 14, REPORTS THAT THE WHITE HOUSE IS SEEKING COOPERATION FROM THE BRITISH, FRENCH, GERMAN, AND JAPANESE GOVERNMENTS TO ENFORCE A BAN ON THE SALE OF A U.S. COMPUTER TO TASS. THE AUGUST 15 WASHINGTON POST CARRIES A SIMILAR STORY.
- 2. AT THE AUGUST 15 PRESS BRIEFING THE STATE DEPARTMENT'S UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 STATE 208615

SPOKESMAN CONFIRMED THAT WE HAVE SOUGHT PARALLEL ACTION FROM THESE FOUR GOVERNMENTS. HE DECLINED TO ANSWER ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS EXCEPT A GENERAL ONE ON COCOM, AS FOLLOWS:

Q. WELL, THE UNITED STATES HAS A VETO IN ANY CASE TO COCOM; RIGHT?

A. JUST TALKING GENERALLY ABOUT COCOM--I MEAN I'M NOT GOING TO TALK ABOUT THIS CASE--THE FACT IS THAT IT IS CONSENTUAL. I MEAN IT HAS TO BE BY CONSENSUS.

Q. SO IN EFFECT WE DO HAVE A VETO?

A. IF IT IS TO DETERMINE THAT A PARTICULAR PIECE OF EQUIPMENT HAS SECURITY IMPLICATIONS, YES.

DEPARTMENT'S SPOKESMAN AUGUST 16 ANSWERED QUESTIONS AS FOLLOWS:

Q. HODDING, THERE IS A REPORT ALONG THE SAME LINES ON THE FBIS TODAY, THE SUGGESTION THAT FRANCE WOULD NOT FEEL OBLIGED TO FOLLOW SUIT IN DENYING THE SALE OF A COMPUTER TO THE SOVIET UNION TO TASS.

A. I'M NOT GOING TO COMMENT ON THAT. I WOULD SUGGEST YOU LOOK AT ALL THE REPORTS COMING OUT OF FRANCE AND ELSEWHERE.

Q. YOU ALSO SUGGESTED YESTERDAY THAT THE COMPUTER SALE IS BEING TURNED DOWN BECAUSE OF SECURITY REASONS. IS THAT CORRECT?

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 STATE 208615

A. I DON'T REMEMBER PRECISELY WHAT I SAID, BUT THAT WOULD BE THE BASIC ASSUMPTION IN SUCH A TURNDOWN. I'M NOT GOING TO TRY TO REFER TO WHAT SPOKESMEN IN OTHER GOVERNMENTS SAY ABOUT THIS.

Q. I JUST WANT TO SEE IF I CAN GET IT CLEARLY SAID HERE THAT WE OPPOSE THIS SALE OF A COMPUTER TO TASS, BECAUSE IT THREATENS THE NATIONAL SECURITY OF THE UNITED STATES.

A. NO. YOU KNOW, THERE ARE VARIOUS WAYS OF DEFINING THE REASONS FOR SUCH A STEP. THE DECISION WAS TAKEN TO TURN IT DOWN. THE REASONS WERE GIVEN AT THE TIME IT WAS TURNED DOWN, AND THOSE REASONS ARE VALID AND I'M NOT GOING TO TRY TO PULL THEM. THEY STILL STAND -- I'M NOT MAKING UP ANY NEW ONES. I'LL BE HAPPY TO GO BACK AND READ THROUGH THE ANNOUNCEMENT AT THE TIME. VANCE

UNCLASSIFIED

NNN

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 STATE 208615 ORIGIN EUR-03

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 /004 R

66011

DRAFTED BY:EUR/SOV:JL COLBERT APPROVED BY:EUR/SOV:JLCOLBERT

-----056562 191519Z /14

R 190410Z AUG 78 FM SECSTATE WASHDC INFO AMEMBASSY MOSCOW 9039

UNCLAS STATE 208615

FOLLOWING REPEAT STATE 208615 ACTION BONN LONDON PARIS TOKYO 17 AUG 78.

QUOTE: UNCLAS STATE 208615

USOECD ALSO FOR EMBASSY

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: ESTC, COCOM

SUBJECT: TASS COMPUTER

REF: A) STATE 188214; B) TOKYO 14798

- 1. THE AUGUST 21 ISSUE OF BUSINESS WEEK, WHICH CAME OUT AUGUST 14, REPORTS THAT THE WHITE HOUSE IS SEEKING COOPERATION FROM THE BRITISH, FRENCH, GERMAN, AND JAPANESE GOVERNMENTS TO ENFORCE A BAN ON THE SALE OF A U.S. COMPUTER TO TASS. THE AUGUST 15 WASHINGTON POST CARRIES A SIMILAR STORY.
- 2. AT THE AUGUST 15 PRESS BRIEFING THE STATE DEPARTMENT'S UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 STATE 208615

SPOKESMAN CONFIRMED THAT WE HAVE SOUGHT PARALLEL ACTION FROM THESE FOUR GOVERNMENTS. HE DECLINED TO ANSWER ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS EXCEPT A GENERAL ONE ON COCOM, AS FOLLOWS:

Q. WELL, THE UNITED STATES HAS A VETO IN ANY CASE TO COCOM; RIGHT?

A. JUST TALKING GENERALLY ABOUT COCOM--I MEAN I'M NOT GOING TO TALK ABOUT THIS CASE--THE FACT IS THAT IT IS CONSENTUAL. I MEAN IT HAS TO BE BY CONSENSUS.

Q. SO IN EFFECT WE DO HAVE A VETO?

A. IF IT IS TO DETERMINE THAT A PARTICULAR PIECE OF EQUIPMENT HAS SECURITY IMPLICATIONS, YES.

DEPARTMENT'S SPOKESMAN AUGUST 16 ANSWERED QUESTIONS AS FOLLOWS:

Q. HODDING, THERE IS A REPORT ALONG THE SAME LINES ON THE FBIS TODAY, THE SUGGESTION THAT FRANCE WOULD NOT FEEL OBLIGED TO FOLLOW SUIT IN DENYING THE SALE OF A COMPUTER TO THE SOVIET UNION TO TASS.

A. I'M NOT GOING TO COMMENT ON THAT. I WOULD SUGGEST YOU LOOK AT ALL THE REPORTS COMING OUT OF FRANCE AND ELSEWHERE.

Q. YOU ALSO SUGGESTED YESTERDAY THAT THE COMPUTER SALE IS BEING TURNED DOWN BECAUSE OF SECURITY REASONS. IS THAT CORRECT?

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 STATE 208615

A. I DON'T REMEMBER PRECISELY WHAT I SAID, BUT THAT WOULD BE THE BASIC ASSUMPTION IN SUCH A TURNDOWN. I'M NOT GOING TO TRY TO REFER TO WHAT SPOKESMEN IN OTHER GOVERNMENTS SAY ABOUT THIS.

Q. I JUST WANT TO SEE IF I CAN GET IT CLEARLY SAID HERE THAT WE OPPOSE THIS SALE OF A COMPUTER TO TASS, BECAUSE IT THREATENS THE NATIONAL SECURITY OF THE UNITED STATES.

A. NO. YOU KNOW, THERE ARE VARIOUS WAYS OF DEFINING THE REASONS FOR SUCH A STEP. THE DECISION WAS TAKEN TO TURN IT DOWN. THE REASONS WERE GIVEN AT THE TIME IT WAS TURNED DOWN, AND THOSE REASONS ARE VALID AND I'M NOT GOING TO TRY TO PULL THEM. THEY STILL STAND -- I'M NOT MAKING UP ANY NEW ONES. I'LL BE HAPPY TO GO BACK AND READ THROUGH THE ANNOUNCEMENT AT THE TIME. VANCE UNQUOTE

VANCE

NOTE BY OC/T: ORIGINAL DIST.: EB/PA,EXCO,EUR,EA.

UNCLASSIFIED

NNN

## Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 jan 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

**Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED** 

Concepts: SALES, COMPUTERS, INTERGOVERNMENTAL COOPERATION, EXPORT CONTROLS

Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 17 aug 1978
Decaption Date: 01 jan 1960
Decaption Note: Disposition Action: n/a

Disposition Approved on Date: Disposition Case Number: n/a Disposition Comment: Disposition Date: 01 jan 1960 Disposition Event: Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1978STATE208615
Document Source: CDR

**Document Unique ID: 00** Drafter: TLW

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: N/A Errors: N/A

**Expiration:** Film Number: D780336-0724 Format: TEL From: STATE

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path: ISecure: 1

Legacy Key: link1978/newtext/t19780853/aaaabsdl.tel

Line Count: 224 Litigation Code IDs: Litigation Codes:

Litigation Codes.
Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Message ID: d09efc61-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Office: ORIGIN EB

Original Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 5
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a Previous Classification: n/a
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 78 STATE 188214, 78 TOKYO 14798

Retention: 0

Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Content Flags:

Review Date: 10 aug 2006 **Review Event:** Review Exemptions: n/a **Review Media Identifier:** Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

**SAS ID:** 1708333 Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: TASS COMPUTER
TAGS: ESTC, US, GE, UK, FR, JA, COCOM
To: BONN LONDON MULTIPLE

Type: TE

vdkvgwkey: odbc://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS\_Docs/d09efc61-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc

Review Markings: Sheryl P. Walter Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 20 Már 2014

Markings: Sheryl P. Walter Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 20 Mar 2014